



Name	Category	Knowledge	Skills	CIPS	THESES SUPERVISORY PANEL: <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Viban</span>	
					DATE: 27/11/2013	
Tan Yi Jing	Distinction				C+ / C+ / B-      (C+)	
	Good					
	Average					
	Minimum Pass					
	Basic Fail					
	Fail					
Farzullah	Distinction				B / B / B-      (B)	
	Good					
	Average					
	Minimum Pass					
	Basic Fail					
	Fail					
Mahdi	Distinction				C / C / C      (C)	
	Good					
	Average					
	Minimum Pass					
	Basic Fail					
	Fail					
Wan Song	Distinction				C- / C- / C+      (C-)	
	Good					
	Average					
	Minimum Pass					
	Basic Fail					
	Fail					
Helmi	Distinction				B+ / B+ / B+      (B+)	
	Good					
	Average					
	Minimum Pass					
	Basic Fail					
	Fail					
	Distinction				Consensus (final)	
	Good					
	Average					
	Minimum Pass					
	Basic Fail					
	Fail					
	Distinction					
	Good					
	Average					
	Minimum Pass					
	Basic Fail					
	Fail					

Assessor's Signature  

*Handwritten initials/signature*

Name	Category	Knowledge	Skills	CIPS
TAN YI JING	Distinction			
	Good	✓		
	Average		✓	✓
	Minimum Pass			
	Basic Fail			
MOHAMAD DAIZULLAH B. HERMAN	Distinction			
	Good			
	Average	✓	✓	
	Minimum Pass			✓
	Basic Fail			
MEADI	Distinction			
	Good		✓	
	Average	✓		✓
	Minimum Pass			
	Basic Fail			
WAN SONA AFZAN	Distinction			
	Good			
	Average	✓	✓	
	Minimum Pass			✓
	Basic Fail			
MOAD HELMI	Distinction			
	Good	✓		✓
	Average		✓	
	Minimum Pass			
	Basic Fail			
	Distinction			
	Good			
	Average			
	Minimum Pass			
	Basic Fail			
	Distinction			
	Good			
	Average			
	Minimum Pass			
	Basic Fail			

**THESIS SUPERVISORY PANEL:**

[Redacted]

DATE: 27/11/13

1- PROGRAM IS UNCLEAR  
 2- NOTIE-IN WITH THESIS SUBJECT "MATHEMATICAL CONCEPTION"  
 3- HAS ALL THE POINTS BUT FAILS TO CONNECT THE IDEAS.  
 4- LACKS JUSTIFICATION IN BRINGING PEOPLE THROUGH LONGER JOURNEY  
 5- NEEDS TO ELABORATE ON THE WHOLE EXPERIENCE OF THE JOURNEY.

1- HAS AN INTERESTING THESIS BUT FAILS TO SHOW IT IN THE END PRODUCT  
 2- HAS ALL THE IDEA BUT LACKS IMAGES/DIAGRAM TO SUPPORT, NEEDS TO SHOW HOW ALL LINKAGES WORK  
 3- POOR DRAWING CONVENTION, NEEDS MORE WORK ON PLANNING.

1- CONSTRUCTION KNOWLEDGE IS QUESTIONABLE (GLASS ROOF WITH FOR NATURAL VENTILATION DOESN'T WORK).  
 2- NEEDS TO SPOT VIEWS TO SHOWCASE "QUALITY OF SPACES"  
 3- BUILDING PROGRAM IS COMPLETE; THOUGH RIGID, CAN BE WORKED ON FURTHER TO IMPROVE.  
 4- NEEDS TO REVISE FACADE, ARCHITECTURALLY SEEMS OUT OF PLACE.

1- NO INTERNAL PLANNING (TOP FLOORS)  
 2- NEEDS TO CONSIDER PROPER SPATIAL PLANNING -  
 3- TRANSITION BETWEEN SPACES ARE HARD TO READ.

1- CONCISE BUILDING PROGRAM/OVERALL PLANNING WITH MINOR DETAILS OPEN FOR IMPROVEMENTS  
 2- LOOK INTO ISSUES ON THE BUDGET HOTEL.  
 3- REVISE THE OVERALL FACADE DESIGN (NEEDS LOTS OF WORK!)  
 4- SERVICES MUST BE REVISED (NEEDS IMPROVEMENT) \ 5- MUST IMPROVE ON THE INTERNAL SPATIAL DIMENSIONS.

Assessor's Signature